Beyond GDP: Measuring Whether Development Improves Everyday Life

A practical framework for production, distribution, capabilities and sustainability

IndusValley.org Research Desk 5 September 2026

Direct answer. GDP is indispensable for tracking production, but it cannot by itself show who receives income, whether jobs are secure, whether essential services work, or whether present growth depletes the foundations of future welfare. A credible development dashboard therefore keeps real GDP per person and adds household disposable income, distribution, poverty, work quality, health, learning, housing and services, subjective experience, and environmental and fiscal sustainability. Results should be disaggregated by region, sex, age and income, with each indicator's definition and uncertainty published.

Contents

1 Purpose and conceptual boundary 2

2 National accounts and household resources 2

3 Distribution, poverty and inclusion 2

4 Work, health, education and housing 2

5 Subjective measures and social connection 2

6 Sustainability and environmental-economic accounting 2

7 Dashboard design and statistical integrity 3

8 Policy application 3

9 Evidence assessment 3

10 Conclusion 3

1 Purpose and conceptual boundary

This review distinguishes economic production from welfare and sustainable development. It evaluates families of indicators rather than proposing that GDP be discarded or replaced by a single universal number.

2 National accounts and household resources

GDP follows a production boundary and supports macroeconomic consistency. Household disposable income, consumption and wealth answer different questions. Reconciling national accounts with distributional household data is necessary because aggregates and survey medians can diverge.

3 Distribution, poverty and inclusion

Mean outcomes should be accompanied by medians, quantiles, poverty measures and disaggregation. Multidimensional measures identify joint deprivation, but their dimensions, thresholds and weights must remain explicit and open to sensitivity analysis.

4 Work, health, education and housing

A minimum social dashboard should cover labour-market access and job quality; mortality, morbidity and care; participation and demonstrated learning; and housing costs, crowding and basic services. Outcomes are preferable to spending alone because equal inputs can yield unequal results.

5 Subjective measures and social connection

Validated measures of life evaluation, affect, insecurity, trust and loneliness reveal lived experience. They are most informative when repeated consistently, disaggregated, and interpreted alongside objective outcomes rather than used as standalone welfare totals.

6 Sustainability and environmental-economic accounting

Current flows must be assessed against stocks and risks. Natural capital, human capital, produced assets, public finances and social institutions affect the capacity to sustain welfare. The UN environmental-economic accounting framework helps integrate environmental stocks and flows with economic statistics.

7 Dashboard design and statistical integrity

A tiered system should publish a stable headline set, diagnostic indicators and reproducible detail. Metadata, revision histories, uncertainty, timeliness and coverage are part of the evidence. Rankings without methodological disclosure invite false precision.

8 Policy application

Governments can connect well-being goals to budgets, regulatory appraisal and programme evaluation. Indicators identify affected groups and trade-offs; elected institutions decide weights. Independent statistical governance protects the dashboard from target manipulation.

9 Evidence assessment

Strong	GDP is a coherent measure of production but not a comprehensive measure of household welfare, distribution or sustainability.
Strong	Income, poverty, work, health, education, housing, services and environmental indicators add distinct policy-relevant information.
Probable	A transparent dashboard improves diagnosis and accountability when it is linked to budgets and evaluation rather than treated as publicity.
Contested	The selection, thresholds and weights assigned to dimensions of well-being involve empirical choices and democratic value judgments.
Unsupported	The claim that any single indicator can neutrally summarise all present welfare, inequality and intergenerational sustainability.

10 Conclusion

Economic growth expands the resources available to societies, but its public value depends on conversion: into secure household incomes, capable lives, reliable services, fair opportunity and durable natural and institutional foundations. The responsible alternative to GDP-only reporting is not one magical replacement. It is a compact, transparent and disaggregated dashboard in which production, distribution, present outcomes and future resources remain visible together.

References

1. OECD, How's Life? 2024: Well-being and Resilience in Times of Crisis.
2. OECD Well-being Framework and indicator dashboard.
3. World Bank, Poverty, Prosperity, and Planet Report 2024.
4. World Bank, Multidimensional Poverty Measure.
5. ILO, Decent Work Indicators: Concepts and Definitions.
6. UNDP, Human Development Reports Data Center.
7. United Nations, System of Environmental-Economic Accounting.
8. United Nations Statistics Division, Global SDG Indicator Framework.
9. Stiglitz, Sen and Fitoussi, Report on the Measurement of Economic Performance and Social Progress.

This paper is an evidence review for public scholarship.

Corrections: info@indusvalley.org.